

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,570	11/28/2003	Todd A. Simpson	9351-287	4949	
1059	7590 12/08/2006		EXAMINER		
BERESKIN AND PARR			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA		
40 KING ST BOX 401	40 KING STREET WEST BOX 401			PAPER NUMBER	
TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2			1725		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	/
	/
^	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/722,570	SIMPSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
M. Alexandra Elve	1725		

Defens the Filing of an Annual Duist		0 00.11 21 712.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	M. Alexandra Elve	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) 🔯 The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u> b) 🔲 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i E below);					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a North day the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application is	condition for allower	nce hecouse:				
·		i condition for allowar	ice because.				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
 See Continuation Sheet. 		Mass					
		M. Alexandra Pive Primary Examiner 1	725				
		i imaly Examine	. 20				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach a controller for reactant(s) control. The examiner respectfully notes that Meltser et al. discloses monitoring which includes corrected concentrations (implied multiple reagents). Applicant argues that flooding is not taught. The examiner respectfully disagrees because Mizuno addresses the humidity issue.